

Western Licensing Unit, P O Box 101, Guildford, Surrey, GU1 9PE,

Licensing Act 2003 Representation in respect of a Premises Licence Application or Variation or a Club Premises Certificate Application or Variation. Person or Temporary Event Notice.

PLEASE READ THE FOLLOWING INSTRUCTIONS FIRST

Before completing this form please read the guidance note at the end of the form. If you are completing this form by hand please print. Please ensure your answers are inside the boxes and written in black ink. You may use additional sheets if necessary. You may wish to keep a copy of the completed form for use by you at any hearing held by the Council or the Magistrates Court as a result of this representation.

I, the undersigned, hereby make representations against the following application.

Details of premises, club or Person whose application you wish to make representations against.

Name of Premises, Club or Person Objection to the Application to Transfer the Premises Licence and Vary the DPS

Premises – Premier/Londis

Mrs Sutharsine Perayeravan proposed Premises Licence Holder (PLH) Mr Navaratnam Pathmilan proposed Designated Premises Supervisor (DPS)

Address of Premises, Club or Person Aberdeen House, Wych Hill, Hook Heath,

Post town	Post code (if known)
Woking, Surrey	GU22 0EU

Type of application

	Please tick ✓
Premises Licence application	
Variation to a Premises Licence	X
Club Premises Certificate application	
Variation of a Club Premises Certificate	
Temporary Event Notice	
DPS Application	X

Representor's Details

I am, Inspector 2934 Bert Dean,

Please tick one only ✓

1) A person who is not a responsible authority (please complete section (A) below)		
2) A responsible authority (please complete section (B) below)	X	

If you do not fall into one of the above categories you may not be entitled to make representations.

Section A - DETAILS OF INDIVIDUAL REPRESENTOR (fill in as applicable)

Surname or Family Name N/A
Forenames N/A
Address of where you live (you can give an alternative address for correspondence later in this form) N/A
Daytime Telephone N/A
E-mail address (optional) N/A

Section B - DETAILS OF RESPONSIBLE AUTHORITY

Name of contact person completing this form Lesley Sumner	
Name of Authority Surrey Police	
Address of Authority P.O. BOX 101 Guildford Surrey GU1 9PE	
Telephone number of contact person	
01483 637413 07720 044961	
E-mail address of contact person (optional) bert.dean@surrey.police.uk	

Please state the ground(s) for the representation and how it relates to one of the licensing objectives. Please use additional blank sheets if necessary.

The Police are objecting to the Applicant (Mrs Sutharsine Perayeravan) and the proposed DPS (Mr Navaratnam Pathmilan) as both of them have already demonstrated that they are not responsible people who will uphold the licensing law and be responsible alcohol retailers. It is believed that they will therefore continue to undermine the licensing objectives, notably the prevention of crime and disorder objective and the protection of children from harm.

The conduct, as outlined below, by both the Applicant and the proposed DPS raises significant doubt that the licensing law and, in particular, the Licensing Objectives and the Licensing Activities may not be upheld.

The licence holder – Mr Pragash Loganathan surrendered the Premises Licence with effect from the 28/07/2023.

The premises continued licensable activities whilst there was no licence in place between the date of surrender (28/07/2023) and the date the transfer application took place (21/08/2023).

The Police were not aware that the licence had been surrendered when the Test Purchase was conducted on 17/08/2023.

During the Test Purchase, which was also during the consultation period, notably it was the proposed DPS, Mr Navaratnam Pathmilan who sold a small individual can of Bacardi Rum to a minor, which was witnessed by a plain clothes Police officer.

The Licensing Enforcement Officer and a uniformed Police officer and the plain clothes officer who witnessed the sale spoke to Mr Navaratnam Pathmilan immediately after the sale had taken place. He appeared well and not sleepy as the Applicant for the transfer suggests in her email dated 24/08/2023, which is outlined further below. Initially Mr Navaratnam Pathmilan denied making the sale, then he apologised and agreed that he did make the sale. Whilst in the premises it was noted that there was alcohol for sale on the shelves.

The Consent Form for a DPS Variation was signed by Mr Navaratnam Pathmilan on 17/08/2023, the same date as the Test Purchase, which may suggest that the Test Purchase may have prompted this administrative activity.

The Applicant stated to Arka Licensing Consultants (email dated 24/08/2023 from Arka Licensing to the Licensing Authority) that they were not selling alcohol until the 21/08/2023. Clearly that was not the case, a sale took place during the Test Purchase on 17/08/2023. there was alcohol for sale on the shop shelves on this date.

In email correspondence dated 24/08/2023 with Arka Licensing Consultants, the Licensing Authority and Surrey Police Licensing Enforcement Officer, the Applicant states:

'Staff sold alcohol on that day were suddenly wasn't feeling well, fell asleep and made a mistake. We apologise to you, please.

We are putting everything in place to make sure it doesn't happen again. We bought this business newly as a family income, to support our family, only two months. We very much worried now, bad things happen.

Please forgive us this time, we are now taking all steps to ensure we promote the license objectives.

Please give us the opportunity to demonstrate from now on that we adhere to the law'.

In this email correspondence, the Applicant does not appear to appreciate that being unwell and falling asleep is not relevant to the sale of alcohol to a minor.

An explanation by the Applicant of 'we are now taking all steps' requires further explanation to clarify what this means.

The applicant appears to have been rather economical with the truth when corresponding with Arka Licensing Consultants, the Licensing Authority and Surrey Police Licensing Enforcement Officer.

There appears to be confusion as to whether the premises are called "Premier" or "Londis". The Applicant states 'Londis' on her application but outside of the shop and on Google maps it states 'Premier'.

This representation relates to the following licensing objectives(s)

Please tick one or more boxes ✓

- 1) the prevention of crime and disorder
- 2) public safety
- 3) the prevention of public nuisance
- 4) the protection of children from harm

If your representation does not relate to one of the above objectives your representation will be invalid.

Please tick ✓

- I understand that this representation may result in a hearing before a Licensing Sub-Committee and if the decision of that Sub-Committee is appealed against, a hearing in the Magistrate's Court.
- I understand that this representation will become a public document and will be included in the agenda for any Licensing Sub-Committee hearing that may be held as a result of this representation.



Х

Х

IT IS AN OFFENCE, UNDER SECTION 158 OF THE LICENSING ACT 2003, TO KNOWINGLY OR RECKLESSLY MAKE A FALSE STATEMENT IN CONNECTION WITH THIS REPRESENTATION. THIS IS PUNISHABLE, ON CONVICTION, BY A FINE OF UP TO £5,000.

This representation must be signed by:

a person making a representation or

a person authorised to do so by a Responsible Authority.



Signed

Date 31st August 2023

Address for correspondence

Contact address for correspondence if different from that given in Sections A, B, or C above.

As above

Post town	Post code
Daytime Telephone number (if any)	
07720 044961 or 01483 637413	
e-mail address (optional)	
bert.dean@surrey.police.uk	

All representations must be made by sending this form to the Licensing Authority to arrive there during the period of 28 consecutive days starting on the day after the day on which the application to which it relates was given to the Licensing Authority by the applicant. In the case of representations following a closure order this period is 7 days after the day on which the Licensing Authority received the notice under section 165(4) of the Act.

Representations received outside these time limits will be deemed to be invalid.